C.P. 1001 — SINGLE BENCH

(1)

(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3753 / 2006

PETITIONER Smt. Bhanubati Uarvasha,
Wd/o Late Shiv Prasad Uarvasha,
Aged about 44 years,
R/o Ward No. 40, Subash Nagar, Kasaridih, Durg, C.G. Presently working as Godown Chowkidar, World Food Program, Forest Office Durg , District Durg, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 3811/06
Presented by Shri Jayendra Gupta
dated 21/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of General Administration, D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Government of Chhattsigarh,
Jail Road, Raipur, C.G
3. Additional Chief Conservator of Forest, (Adm./Non-Gazetted)
Raipur, C.G.
4. Conservator of forest,
Durg Circle, District Durg, C.G.

RECEIVED COPY
21/12/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushal
BILASPUR TAHSIL
21/12/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(68)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3753/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3.8.2006:- Shri Jitendra Gupta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that vide order dated 25-7-95 she was appointed on compassionate ground on the post of Godown Chowkidar as a temporary employee along with 4 other employees and thereafter the services of those employees have been regularized. But even after the lapse of 11 years and also in spite of the fact that D.F.O. has already recommended to the Conservator of Forest on 7-1-2004 and thereafter on 26-10-2004 for regularization of the services of the petitioner, even then respondent No.2 is not passing any order. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has made several representations to respondent No.2 but nothing has been heard so far, therefore, he be directed to decide the representation to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, having perused the letters sent by D.F.O. to the Conservator of Forest, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.